


WICKLIFFE PROGRESSIVE SCHOOL BUILDING TEAM SURVEY FEEDBACK MAY 2016

District leaders asked the members of each school's building team to take a brief online survey in which they selected their preferred option for that school building. Twenty members of the Wickliffe Progressive School building team responded. All results and comments are included in the compiled totals and feedback.

WICKLIFFE PROGRESSIVE SCHOOL BUILDING TEAM FEEDBACK



WICKLIFFE PROGRESSIVE SCHOOL COMMENTS

- It would be a waste of time and money to not right-size our classrooms to meet the educational mission of Wickliffe, therefore I fully support making the investment now to fulfill both the physical and educational needs.
- - Re: Rebuild option Parking safety and aesthetics is really important if placing a large parking lot at the front door. I appreciate the smaller amounts and impact of parking in the other two options.
- If we rebuild, I would love the opportunity for the staff to be able to create our own options, preferably based on the most innovative and futuristic schools to be found as to fit our foundation in progressive theories.
- This facility should be inline size wise with state standards. Given the pricing of Renovate + and rebuild being equal I think starting with a blank canvas is the way to go. Renovating always comes with additional hidden costs. I think renovation will lead to a higher end cost with some compromises in the facility.
- With the cost for renovate vs rebuild being the same, it only makes sense to construct a new building -- far more cost efficient in long run can we can get exactly the building we want

- We are well-positioned to design a learning environment to fit our philosophy around teaching and learning given the flexibility we are offered with such a lot. This is a once in a lifetime opportunity~
- Rebuilding seems like the only smart option, given the high cost of renovation and repair.
- The current building at Wickliffe does not match the educational needs of its students or the progressive aims of the school. Given that the cost to rebuild is the same as the cost to renovate, I see no downside to rebuilding.
- I believe Rebuild Option is the way to go. I think the first option of repair would be just be a bandaide fix and the second option Renovate would be just a bigger costing bandaide. Therefore rebuild is the best solution for long term future. Now speaking to fact the use of trailers will be unlikely would there be the possibly of a vacant business building that could be used until the construction was completed.
- Keep Kindergarten playground near other playground, more versatile for kids to go back and forth after school hours. Move garden to where it is actually sunny. I am usually a renovate person, (prefer old homes to new, for example) but Wickliffe as a building is not special and I think a potential environmental hazard. The programs that go on there, in spite of the building limitations, are special. Building new will pay off in the short and long term. Teaching and learning will be better designed. You'll be able to address the bad drainage in the field. But what about the neighbors? VERY LITTLE was done to accommodate or consider the existing houses that will be hugely affected. I don't see the use of trailers as a huge issue, for the kids, one way or the other. They will learn in any classroom with a good teacher(s) and appropriate number of kids.
- I feel it's essential for us to consider the rebuild option as we weigh in how much environment impacts the philosophy of this school.
- The rebuild idea is financially the way to go; educational program would be best served with an open and flexible floor plan. The scale of the residential context needs to be maintained.
- My only question may be from a logistics standpoint and might be addressed at the next level of the process, but, for inclement weather where the fields wouldn't be an option, it seems the asphalt play area is smaller than what is existing now. I also wonder if it should be contiguous to the building for logistic purposes. Not a big deal and an easy remedy I am sure. Overall the rebuild option seems to be the most beneficial to the students and future leaders of UA.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ABOUT FACILITIES MASTER PLANNING

- In general, I hope for all the school design processes, parking and living in a more sustainable way is considered. It is unfortunate to need so much parking at the high school for example. A parallel effort should be considered to encourage ride share, biking and walking to all the schools!

- Thank you for this opportunity to share our thoughts!
- The transparency during the entire process and the ability of anyone in UA to give their views on this important issue has been outstanding. This also will make it easier to go to voters when we ask for that really big amount of money.
- Excellent process. Honored the voices of the community and staff multiple times and taking a slow, thoughtful approach to an important process.
- I have been so pleased with how open this process has been! Kudos!
- Thank you for encouraging community involvement for being transparent about the process.
- I think the whole process has been handled with the utmost detail to make sure the staff, team leaders, volunteers and community were included and welcomed throughout the journey. The architects did an incredible job at making sure everything from frustrations to dreams were included in preliminary sketches whether it was for the Repair, Renovate or Rebuild as well as answering any question along the way. A Big Thank You to everyone that had something to do with putting it together. Excellent Job.
- I liked it much better in the beginning when it was a small group that was working on a project, but by later meetings it was new people each time, and too big a group for discussions. Also, because the building leaders kept having to bring people up to date (if I see those ppt slides of the process again I'll scream), we had MUCH TOO LITTLE TIME to really sink our minds into it and discuss anything. Those initial architect plans should have been more a springboard to develop additional great ideas, but we had too little time. I totally understand you want to have community support and input, but then the meetings should have been longer, or a different format.
- I appreciate the transparency of the process and all of the district's efforts to include the community in this process.
- Although I did not participate in every meeting I believe the meetings were run in a professional manner. The participants are sophisticated and will expect maximum value for proposed investment in education.
- Wickliffe School definitely needs a better entry to the building.