


# COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SESSION #4 FEEDBACK

## APRIL 19 AND 20, 2016

District leaders asked attendees of the community engagement session to choose one preferred option for any or all of the school buildings. These options have been developed based on feedback from the building teams. All results and comments are included in the compiled totals and feedback for each school building.


### BURBANK EARLY CHILDHOOD SCHOOL COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SESSION #4 FEEDBACK



### BURBANK EARLY CHILDHOOD SCHOOL COMMENTS

- I think BECS is a unique community option with as many dual professional homes as we have I think this investment is worth the cost. (Both of my kids went through BECS :))
- Newest building, so defer repairs to future. In 10-15 yrs down road would want to rebuild & take better advantage of the space around the school. In 10-15 yrs might have better prediction of needs for next 50 yrs.
- I feel like Burbank is in need of the rebuild option. I like the idea of a new auditorium.
- (Rebuild) Include senior center as well.
- (Rebuild) Move senior center to Burbank. How are we investing in senior community?
- (Repair+) Remove building & expand sports fields? Can Central Office fit @ Burbank?
- (No preferred option) Is this a pay to use facility? Put Central Office here. Least important facility.
- (Renovate+) Save \$2M & get similar to new w/o trailers.
- N/A.
- I'd suggest doing the minimum possible on this building. My children are there currently and the space fits the needs (daycare and pre-K). Repairs are certainly needed, but I think that's all that's necessary.
- (Rebuild) Senior center relocate at Burbank?
- (Renovate+ circled.) Will there be access to outdoor space? Will this repair create an ↑ in fees for families that use Burbank?
- In general, I prefer all rebuild options where close in price to renovate option. If big difference between rebuild & renovate, then "Renovate+" is best option. Do not prefer Repair option because does not address educational needs, except for Jones - where price tag is large.
- Burbank doesn't need big changes - a repair of the existing infrastructure would work best!
- (Rebuild circled.) Both of my children are graduates of Burbank. Keeping the parking to one area will improve the safety for preschool children. Enlarging the classrooms will help with noise pollution when kids are learning at stations and also allow for napping/rest.
- (No option circled.) Not much interest in spending here.
- (Repair+ circled.) Without a K-12 school at this location is much more than repair needed?

## BARRINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SESSION #4 FEEDBACK




### BARRINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL COMMENTS

- The current configuration is inefficient from many points of view. I worry about how to keep the building secure and the excessive energy use for buildings that are stretched over such a great area. The buildings reflect a bygone era and the classrooms are not designed for 21st century learning. Starting over with a rebuild is the best option.
- I also liked the Renovate A Option from before. :) With such a small discrepancy in prices between Renovate and Rebuild it seems to make more sense to just rebuild completely.
- (No option circled.) Bring back Renovate+ Option A, please, for the community to compare the two Renovate options. Renovate B, which keeps a large portion of the older building (Andover Rd wing), is not as good an option looking forward as Renovate A was. At first building team meeting when the options were presented, there was one group that didn't like 3 stories but the other groups did not have a problem with 3 stories, especially when considering keeping so much of the old building that is deteriorating on Andover Rd.
- Economically, it makes more sense to rebuild rather than renovate.
- The facilities at Barrington from the outside perspective seem to be adequate with exception of repairs. I trust growth projections which seem to point to more growth in the mid and north sections of UA.
- I like having the new field. I like the idea of reconfiguring the building near NW & Barrington.
- (Rebuild) Just get it done! Do it!
- (Renovate+ B) I like not having the school on NW Blvd. Can save \$1.3M and still get a lot of new school.
- (Repair+) Not quite sure where I would weigh in on this. A 2 year rebuild would be difficult here because it looks like there would be no playground space, which would be almost impossible to do at the elementary level. With the renovate option being almost as much as the rebuild it's hard to decipher which option is better. Is the repair option going to meet the needs of the enrollment increase?
- (No option circled.) I live on Guilford Rd between Andover & Northwest Blvd. I and every one of my neighbors are very concerned that the green space at the corner of Andover & Guilford be preserved and not be taken over for parking. There are enough places for street parking if more parking is needed. Please save the green space.
- Option B produces green space for all sides.
- (Rebuild circled.) Cost almost the same as renovate. Why not have a new footprint with better use of space?
- (Renovate+ and Rebuild circled.) Renovate & Rebuild both good options - do not like site placement of rebuild b/c of traffic on road - would prefer to see more rebuild/new closer to current location.
- (Renovate+ B circled.) Keep historic building.
- (No option circled.) The current "new" bleachers at the gym are too short and too small ... they need to be bigger and a little more roomie.

- (No option circled) Will the courtyard have a mechanism for reducing snow and ice so kids get outdoor time even when it snows? Right now kids don't get outdoor unstructured free, active playtime for much of the winter due to ice. Still no plan to improve traffic flow in repair or renovate. Tuft in place of grass so kids can play - mud leaves them without a place to play many weeks of the year.
- (Renovate+ B circled.) Need of this.
- (Renovate+ B circled.) It's time for Barrington to get a much needed facelift - I think the renovation + option B plan makes the most sense. It would be nice to be able to fit the softball field next to the baseball field.
- (Rebuild circled.) Significant ↑ in square footage accommodating growing populating. Minimal difference between renovate and rebuild in \$. Will allow students to learn while a rebuild is taking place.
- (Renovate+ B circled.) Keep the history in tact.
- (Renovate+ B circled.) Where's Renovate A? The gym space needs to increase with the renovation; we need a stage/performance auditorium space in Renovate B.
- (Rebuild labeled 1st choice. Renovate+ B labeled 2nd choice.) I think a stage/performance space is important away from the gym. I prefer the Rebuild & Renovate A option from before. I do not like the idea of keeping the extra section of the original building in Renovate B.
- (No option circled.) I prefer Renovate A or Renovate B - keep some of the history! Both need a performance space separate from the gym. I'd like to see all of the Informal classrooms housed together.
- (No option circled.) My favorite option is the Rebuild option. I also liked Renovate A, but it is no longer on this list. \*I think with the growing numbers of students, we should consider 2 gyms and/or a performing space for K-5 :)
- (No option circled.) The NEW building must have a performance area - which is available ALL DAY! I like the idea of going up - 3 stories - instead of laid out the way it is now. I like renovating instead of just rebuilding.
- (Renovate+ B circled.) My first impression of Barrington was as a prospective parent being driven around Columbus by a realtor. I fell in love with the beautiful stone building and copper overhangs. The architecture of the school seemed to fit so well into the cozy, friendly and historic feel of the neighborhood. I think it would be a shame - as a neighbor and as a teacher in the district - to lose the historic tie to our community's past.
- (Rebuild option circled.) Playground -> more options for non-sporty kids, drainage. Kids can't play after rain. Flexible space for indoor recess. Tech space/makers lab. Fix STOP DROP & GO - traffic study essential. Fix kindergarten pickup/drop-off (covered/sheltered area for students to wait in bad weather). Quiet flexible space in classrooms. Flexible space for after-school activities. More individual lockers -> LICE.
- (Renovate+ or Rebuild circled.) I would support whichever option is preferred by people with children attending Barrington.


## GREENSVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SESSION #4 FEEDBACK



### GREENSVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL COMMENTS

- No experience here outside of the playground so I don't feel comfortable choosing.
- Newer bldg, so defer repairs as long as possible.
- It would be nice for the multi-purpose area and the gymnasium to open up/connect so that a larger area would be available for school events.
- Greensview needs a total reconfiguration. I like the idea of moving the main entrance.
- I like the idea of moving Greensview building away from street.
- Incremental cost of new build is low enough so why not rebuild and avoid trailers?
- N/A.
- (Rebuild) Didn't love playground on Langston.
- (Repair+ circled.) Do not put in bleachers like Barrington.
- (Repair+ circled.) School is currently the most modern. There is no parking increase in either plan.
- (Repair+ circled.) They have had major updates in the last few years.

## TREMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SESSION #4 FEEDBACK




### TREMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL COMMENTS

- I like this option because it keeps kids in current space while you rebuild part of school.
- The growth in this area feels high compared to other areas so I think additional investment makes sense here.
- Tremont was a wonderful school for my children. It's been 5 or 6 years since my children attended Tremont, but at that time the building & facilities seemed adequate. REpairs & upgrading seem appropriate.
- (Rebuild Option B) I do not want my young kids in trailers.

- (Renovate Option A) Save the money from Reno Option B. Keeps the school farther off of Tremont. Better footprint.
- N/A.
- (Renovate Option B) I'd choose this option because it appears to be the least disruptive for the students and also expands the play area at the rear of the building.
- (Renovate Option B) U-shaped space. Creates a central space that allows for community.
- (Repair+ option circled.) Enough money has already been spent on Tremont Elementary - need to spend money on other elementary schools & high school.
- (Renovate+ B circled.) Will improve safety for kindergarteners. Increase the space for long term population growth. Will improve flow in the centralized building.
- (Renovate+ B circled.) I am most concerned about the educational aspect of all the school buildings but only know Tremont. I don't feel, even w/ Option A, that the classrooms will be big enough and provide the space needed for a terrific educational experience. Also, Option B would most likely not require trailers :)
- (Repair+ circled.) Seems like work has been ongoing at Tremont. I assume this means repair option is sufficient. Exterior looks good.
- (Repair+ circled.) No reason to do more than repair as Tremont is undergoing renovation currently.
- (Renovate+ Option A circled.) The green space and playground in front of Tremont would be greatly missed if Option B were selected.


## WICKLIFFE PROGRESSIVE SCHOOL COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SESSION #4 FEEDBACK



## WICKLIFFE PROGRESSIVE SCHOOL COMMENTS

- Wickliffe is another unique community offering that I believe is worth additional investment.
- Costs between renovate and rebuild are so close, so rebuild.
- The space at Wickliffe does not match its philosophy of education at this time. Rebuild is the best option especially since the cost of rebuilding is the same as renovating.
- With cost being equal (Renovate+ and Rebuild), start over and make it right.
- Same cost but new school.
- N/A.
- (Renovate+) We love Wickliffe and to add on and keep the integrity of the old school keeps a little piece of history in tact.
- (Rebuild) Better layout possibilities.
- For \$23k, I would build new.
- (Rebuild circled.) Rooftop space for outdoor learning. Access to outdoor space from each room. Space that is flexible in use. Make an E not an (square). (Reference to building shape.)
- (Pointing to Renovate+ and Rebuild) Almost the same price! May as well all be new ...
- (Rebuild circled.) Appears to have additional parking. School appear more centralize. Significant improvement in entryway.
- (No option circled.) Tear down Wickliffe & build a joint elementary school with the added benefit of reducing staff or tear down Wickliffe & build a joint jr high school & reduce staff.


## WINDERMERE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SESSION #4 FEEDBACK



### WINDERMERE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL COMMENTS

- No experience with this property. No comment.
- Windermere is not architecturally significant. Save the money and start over.
- Please address traffic flow. Curved road creates safety hazard. Can main entrance be moved?
- (Rebuild) Cheaper than Reno.
- N/A.
- A whole new building seems appropriate for this school's footprint and need of expansion.
- (Rebuild circled.) The courtyard needs to be bigger if possible.
- (Renovate+ circled.) Significant improvement in flow and creating a square flow. The long north side of the current plan is not conducive for the younger elementary children.

## HASTINGS MIDDLE SCHOOL COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SESSION #4 FEEDBACK




### HASTINGS MIDDLE SCHOOL COMMENTS

- Needs are 10-15 yrs down road, but rebuild @ that time.
- Has anyone considered a parking garage? Parking is a nightmare here. I am in support of the new entry.
- Is a parking garage an option? Parking seems tight.
- Reed Rd. is main st. of the north. Make this building shine inside and out.
- Save \$7M and get similar results. Trailers needed regardless so no benefit there w/ a new build.
- N/A.
- (Rebuild circled.) For only 7 mil more it only makes sense to start new.
- (Rebuild circled.) Hastings Middle School is in greater need for major improvements than Jones. We need to prioritize which middle school has greater needs - it's Hastings!

- (Rebuild circled.) Improve the environment creating additional windows. Parking options should be considered.
- (Rebuild circled.) In 5-10 yrs.
- (No option circled.) Not sure on this one. Repair option is my first thought, but may change to others if I am educated on the need.
- How about A/C-furnace for gym? Hopefully that's included.

## JONES MIDDLE SCHOOL COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SESSION #4 FEEDBACK




## JONES MIDDLE SCHOOL COMMENTS


- Since rebuild is not an option with Jones, I prefer the repair option. I don't believe you get that much more for the significant additional cost of the renovate option. It would also be much more complicated to execute.
- I have taught at Jones for twenty years, we absolutely need to separate the gym and auditorium!! The space doesn't work for athletics or music. We need an adequate gym and an auditorium that can be used for rehearsals and performances without balls hitting the wall.
- Central Office moves to municipal building?
- Renovate+ too expensive. Don't be so tied to historical aspect. Probably better to rebuild.
- The Jones (Repair+) option is reasonable based on the condition & age of the school. Although it's been several years since two children attended Jones, it seems an extensive renovation of the building is unnecessary. I am also concerned that the historic character & uniqueness of the building, both exterior & interior, would be diminished.
- I'd prefer to see Jones make better use of already owned land instead of acquisition of a business no less. 2-3 level parking to replace school board & tennis courts near pool, replacing asphalt parking lot.
- Tennis courts need to be by the pool. Build a parking deck where current board office is. Do not take away a medical office from our community. The medical office produces revenue for our community. The cost of tearing down a perfectly good medical building would be a waste of money that is not be used effectively! Shuttle tennis players to high school if needed.
- Do not displace a perfectly good working medical building for tennis courts! It would be a waste of money for our community.
- Displacing an office is not what our community is about! Use tennis courts at high school or put tennis courts next to pool. Tennis courts next to pool makes most sense.
- The expense of purchasing additional land is too much for our community! No new tennis courts are needed! Renovate the board office! Board office does not need to be moved! Very unhappy with Renovate+ option proposal!
- I feel like this school is in pretty good condition and does not need to acquire land. Displacing a business is unnecessary. Is a parking garage an option?
- ? Jones is tricky. Was \$/renovations done in time? 90s. (Will that hold up?)
- Concerned about additional land costs.
- (No preferred option) Have to find a middle option. Cost is very high.

- My guess is you will have a hard time selling the renovate option to the community. People seem to be invested in keeping Jones the way it is. I'm not sure this change will fly. I think the renovate option looks great if you are able to obtain the land, but again I think it will meet a fair amount of resistance from the community. Especially if you want people to embrace the idea of a rebuild option for the high school.
- I would prefer the renovate option if the cost of acquiring the additional property is reasonable. Otherwise, repair seems appropriate.
- Please do not take away the medical office building. This building brings revenue to our area through taxes and this office has great potential to bring more money to the community as it has the potential to be filled with multiple practices. The destruction of a fully functional building would be a shame. Please look at other areas for tennis courts that won't be such a large loss for our community or do Repair+ option. The Renovate+ option is not the plan that best suits our community.
- Use main entry for tennis courts if needed. Make this (current parking lot) 3-4 car garage. Cafeteria to be built on 4th floor of school. Medical building generates taxes. Not many commercial buildings in area, leave medical building, it's part of the community.
- Consider a pedestrian bridge across Coventry to allow safe passage between the school & the athletic fields.
- (No option circled.) Do we have to pay the city to use the mallway?
- (No option circled.) We should not be driving income tax producing professional offices out of the city at a time the city is trying to increase our tax base. Same goes for loss of property tax. Private land should not be taken for such minor used facilities as tennis courts. Build two on the school owned office or build on other school land. (Or better yet do not expand Jones - I am an alum and using the gym for productions was not an issue in my life.) Other option - place tennis courts on gym roof as is done in other dense areas.
- (No option circled.) Renovate this school only - historical school.
- Land acquisition for tennis courts seems to me to be unnecessary and very costly. The earlier rebuild option w/ tennis courts located in area of softball field seemed more reasonable.
- No increase in student enrollment in either plan. Basically paying \$30M to move tennis courts across the street. And finally cost to renovate does not include land acquisition which includes a professional building. Again, expensive courts.
- (Renovate+ circled.) Larger/more usable space is likely needed so some kind of addition is OK. No strong feelings about tennis courts - esp. If they can be accommodated nearby.
- (Repair+ circled.) No need to take away medical building - this is part of the community - draws taxes and potential more taxes from renters. Make tennis courts by pool - more convenient and useful. Parking garage in board building (3-4 level). Any future use of main entry land? Can't be used for tennis courts. Build 4th floor to be cafeteria.
- This is the only Repair+ option I selected due to large difference in price.
- (Repair+ circled.) Jones doesn't need this HUGE renovation. It's unfair to take away someone's business - tennis courts next to the pool so the public can use them makes more sense! Why not build a parking garage next to the main entry where the district office currently is situated?
- (Renovate+ circled.) The infrastructure needs to be improved significantly. Although charming, it needs improvement as it is the oldest school in the district. I hope the exterior will continue to reflect the original architecture.
- (Renovate+ circled.) Also depends on cost of land acquisition.
- (Repair+ circled.) District office does not need a new building. Consider Burbank Ctr or the empty Macy's building.
- (Renovate+ circled.) Could only the addition be built first? And do the internal reno later? Could the tennis courts move to the shotput area of the sports fields?
- (No option circled.) I would like to see another option in between these. I fully recognize & support that more space is needed but the price jump from \$18M to \$48M seems high.

## UPPER ARLINGTON HIGH SCHOOL COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SESSION #4 FEEDBACK



### BREAKDOWN OF THE REBUILD OPTIONS



## UPPER ARLINGTON HIGH SCHOOL COMMENTS

- If we are going to make this large of an investment, we need to have a new school and stadium. Otherwise you are kicking a smaller can down the road. I also prefer the flow of Option B the best. I'm not sure the costs of acquiring additional property are worth additional parking and fields. We definitely need more space for music in the new building!!
- Not much difference in cost between Renovate+ and Rebuild. Go big or go home ....
- Rebuild A or B ... what are the extra softball/baseball diamonds for on Options C & D?
- Buying the houses along Brandon makes no sense unless you buy the ones on Northwest also - which would be cost prohibitive.
- I think this is the most important aspect of the effort. We all benefit from the high school as a community and as such it deserves the most financial commitment and attention.
- I am concerned about the cost of land acquisition, therefore would be OK with Option B.

- Can't see \$5M benefit to moving stadium. If all green space is together, could be confusing for all participants at sporting events. Usually events are separate so doesn't need all green space together.
- (Repair+) It was a mistake when the building was originally designed for the gym and theater to share a lobby. Basketball is too loud for the orchestra. (Rebuild Option D) The theater does not look big enough. Is it as nice as what we have now?
- All students in this community will attend the high school, and we need a building that will best meet those needs. If we want our community to be competitive with other communities that are prioritizing community needs (like Dublin, New Albany, Powell, etc.), then we need to be willing to pay for it.
- Parking at the high school is inadequate and creates serious congestion & safety problems along the surrounding streets. A parking garage would greatly reduce & possibly eliminate the need for off campus parking. Even if a parking garage was limited to staff & visitor parking, student parking on surface lots would be much improved.
- I really like the new stadium - the HS needs it. We need to compete with other HS's that have a more impressive stadiums. No need to take another property owner's land!
- (No option circled) Favor Rebuild. Which option is best for academics? I would lean in that direction.
- The high school needs the Renovate+ option. It is in pretty poor shape.
- D > B.
- (Rebuild Option D) Do what is best for students!
- Keep the building back from the street and surrounding homes. Get all the parking possible around the H.S. I would pay more for underground. Not sure how it would fly. Worth comparing cost of eminent domain.
- Please prioritize quiet HVAC units. A loud HVAC system imposes a loud burden on neighbors. As a resident of Wakefield Forest I have concerns about becoming an unofficial school parking lot. I have seen the lunch litter along Brandon Rd - and do not want it in my yard.
- (Rebuild Option B) Like athletic facilities together. Concerned about main entrance on Zollinger.
- (No preferred option) Could UAHS use OSU Fields at Beekman Park if sports fields are disrupted?
- (Rebuild Option C) Buy more land. Lowest cost new build. I see no need to move the football stadium a few 100 yards for \$6M.
- Yikes! Personally I don't think it makes sense to repair the high school, but I think this is where the community will feel most comfortable. As far as renovating vs. rebuilding, for the cost, rebuilding makes the most sense. I think Option A or Option C make the most sense as far as the least disruption. C obviously would depend on the land acquisition option, and therefore depends on cost.
- Rebuild B is my favorite, followed by Rebuild D (though I'm not sure additional ball fields are needed unless there is an option for community use; I'd rather consider more classroom space or spreading out the building's footprint if more space becomes available).
- Would like to consider underground parking options.
- (Rebuild D circled) Why not build a new HS at Burbank Park?
- Like to see 4-story option and efforts to establish enough parking to help neighbors.
- (Rebuild C circled) I'm not sure which rebuild option so I just picked one. Anything less than rebuild would be criminal.
- Renovate is my preferred because of the beautiful structure we already have. U.S. in general waste too much in general. New is not always better. Teach our children not to be wasteful as so many are now!
- Land acquisition does not seem to be that necessary and would be very costly and disruptive to property owners/occupants.
- (Rebuild C circled.) Does not move stadium. HS entrance on Options B & D too small. Like larger entrance area which is a part of Option C.
- (Rebuild C circled.) What consideration has been given to before & after school traffic on surrounding streets?
- (Rebuild B circled.) Would be nice to keep athletic complex together - rebuild stadium as part of complex. Land acquisition seems not worth it for 2 fields & parking.
- (Rebuild B and D circled) 3-4 years in trailers is too long! That could be a student's entire high school experience. I like all of the athletic fields on one side of the building (Option B or D). It makes sense to rebuild - not much more than renovation and will have less limitations of current

structure. And less trailers for students. If possible, it would be great to have more parking and alleviate parking in neighborhoods.

- Parking is key.
- (Rebuild Option D circled.) But holding out for lease/purchase of OSU property & making current UAHS land/property available to Parks & Rec.
- (Rebuild Option D circled.) In Option C & D Rebuild, could we bump out to Northwest Blvd or at least acquire a few condos in the middle of the project to use as a driveway access to school to help with traffic flow.
- The additional cost of buying land, moving a street for additional 150 spots & 2 practice fields is huge. Instead, why not use the third dimension and add a parking garage (or underground, then put practice field on top) and free up surface parking → athletic field? Transition/construction time - will science labs and other specialty space be continuously available? Will I need to ensure my kid doesn't sign up for AP Chemistry during construction?
- (Rebuild Option D circled.) Makes sense to spend more \$ to rebuild the stadium and definitely acquire more land.
- (Rebuild Option D circled.) Really like but please do not use eminent domain.
- (Rebuild Option D circled.) Rebuild is the best long term option for quality and value.
- (Rebuild Option D circled.) Like having more land, having the sports fields together.
- (Rebuild Option B circled.) Rebuild Option B seems like the best use of our existing space.
- (Rebuild Option D circled.) For long term connectivity of the school I support the fields together for students and for the public. It would open up the option D to allow for large group school events/outdoor forums/festivals/etc. ↑ in parking spaces is very important for long term success.
- (Arrow pointing to Rebuild Options A and C.) Library parking aisles are not wide enough - please measure # spaces using a wide aisle - something appropriate for young drivers. Better understanding of the importance of the baseball/softball field situation ... I am supportive of the 2 BB and 2 softball fields + practice field (esp. If soccer could practice at the high school). Can Rebuild A have underground parking then have extra fields on top? The cost of buying land for Rebuild C is a factor, but that is a functional option. Can parking be further maximized with a garden level building + 3 floors?
- (Rebuild Option C circled and labeled #1. Rebuild Option A circled and labeled #2.) Depending on cost of extra land - seems like best option. If buying extra land too expensive then Rebuild Option A.
- (No option circled.) Don't know enough to choose an option, but for sure Rebuild!!
- (Rebuild Option B circled.) Look @ 5-6 stories. \*Urban.
- (Rebuild Option A and B circled.) Either may be good - not enough info to decide - are there enough pkg spaces. (1) Want to be sure with rebuild option that aesthetics are considered. This bldg looks awful compared to other high schools. Make sure it's a bldg that community will be proud of!! (2) Rebuild preferred. Among schools - spend more at H.S. less at other schools. Real educat. benefit is here!
- (Rebuild Option D circled.) I am "all in" on the HS. Our community needs this. With our community pushing back on a \$17M park renovation, it will be interesting what occurs with such a significant expenditure.
- (Rebuild Option B circled.) All sports fields should stay closeby. That helps with other events (festivals, etc.). This vote doesn't mean we won't approve land acquisition. On the contrary, we are OK with it - but it should be from available surrounding properties not in a preconceived plan (having it on an option makes it uncomfortable, as if we are pushing neighbors over).
- (Renovate+ Option circled.) *Written below Rebuild Option A: "Dumb idea - too much traffic on Zollinger." Rebuild Options C & D crossed out.*
- (Rebuild Option C circled.) Love the idea of land acquisition for the high school. I would even like to see acquisition to Northwest to provide a proper main entrance, more parking and field space.

## **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

- Thank you for soliciting community input. As has been expressed to us repeatedly in community meetings the focus of this exercise should be maximizing educational effectiveness and not simply construction issues.
- FANTASTIC JOB!

- Thank you for your efforts.
- Meetings have been well organized. Presentations have contained enough detail to be informative but not overwhelm. Andy presented the mill information clearly.
- (No preferred options listed) I think there is potential for fundraising thru large and small corporations & also local community family and business naming rights. “Buy a bldg” - “buy a classroom” - “buy a parking space.” People & companies love to see their names in print! Fundraising will also help show the community you have tried to go out to the surrounding community before going to taxpayers. Let’s use more modern construction methods - not just standard “institutional” products. Mechanically fastened TPO roof in lieu of current roof replacements using built up roofs (BUR). LEED is not necessary but energy efficiency is. Driving & dropoff/parking logistics at all schools are in dire need of work on traffic flow - please keep this in mind.
- Thank you for running such a broad, open & complete process!!
- Even though Pat is on the task force, I think it’s a lot to wrap your brain around. You are going about it the right way - total transparency and letting people get used to the idea for a while before having to make a decision. It’s a lot to process. When you bring up that we don’t have to do it all at once, are you envisioning a long term plan you could share? I know you said the high school is the most pressing, then the middle schools, then the elementaries. As far as levies go, is there a way to lay out a potential plan that would include what would be reasonable to ask for for the upcoming levy and what that would pay for? Then the next levy would ask for \_\_\_\_ amount and pay for \_\_\_\_\_. You may not be at this stage yet, but I think it would be helpful. My first reaction was “Wow, this is a lot of stuff that needs to be done.” I know Paul keeps saying it doesn’t all need to be done at once, but a timeline of a levy asks may be helpful in processing all the costs and options. The presentation was very well done & informative. Thank you for your diligence and dedication to our schools and our community. Feel free to call me with any questions! (Name and phone number listed but withheld from this feedback document.)
- Please mention community uses for our schools. They are not just for schoolchildren. Churches & organizations use our facilities. Maybe some would like to contribute to costs? Please consider a senior center as an important aspect of our education community. We have a tradition of “lifelong learning.”
- How do you get the 10% estimated increase? More houses are turning over & becoming family homes.
- I understand the buildings from a physical standpoint are at a 0-15 year span of need to “fix.” However, from an educational standpoint, all of them are at a 0-5 window. The only thing I wonder about is wouldn’t we want bonds to stagger off the table to free money in chunks rather than all at once. Overall, I think rebuild UA city schools. Education cannot afford bandaids and kicking cans down the road. We need to rebuild our educational facilities and approach to help prepare our students to be future ready.
- Go after {name redacted} retirement for dereliction of duty. He left you all with a mess. Thank you for facilitating process.
- Great forward thinking and thank you for all the information. As a business owner, rebuilding options typically cost less in the long run and offer a more successful outcome.
- Vote to rebuild on a 15-year plan, high school first. I expect the school to stand against any Zollinger Road-Ackerman Road connector.
- (1) In full disclosure need to talk abt levy needs in addition to capital improvements. A 10% increase in enrollment comes with added costs. What are those expected to be?? Also what kinds of increases in operating costs are you expecting with added space? This community has lots of diversity - please don’t drive people out.
- Thanks for your transparency. You are doing a great job @ presenting options.
- Has consideration been given to online schooling which is increasing across the country? Online schooling will result in reduced space requirements.